

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 14	
	Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.g	gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,555	12/29/2003	Daniel J. Sox	024.0059 (03-0521)	8448	
29906 7590 09/12/2006 INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			EXAM	INER	
		RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2828			
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/200	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/748,555	SOX ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. re: a) accepted or b) objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-18-04, 10-21-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the gain medium and lasing cavity of claim 14 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Art Unit: 2828

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicant is advised to review the disclosure of the invention as reference numeral (102) is used to identify the laser diodes and spatial modulator.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: applicant has recited a lasing cavity but has failed to claim the relationship of the lasing cavity with the gain medium and the light source.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,555

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 1-4, 8-11, 13, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Igasaki et al (2003/0010889).

Regarding claim 1, 9,

Igasaki et al illustrates in figure 2 a laser system including a laser diode array (22), a spatial light modulator (38), a sensor (30) [applicant's detector], a controller (36) for controlling the modulation of the spatial light modulator, see paragraph [0045] and [0046].

Regarding claim 2,

Igasaki et al illustrates lenses (24) and (26).

Regarding claims 3, 4,

Igasaki et al illustrates a beam splitter (28).

Regarding claim 8,

Igasaki et al discloses the spatial light modulator as a digital micro-mirror device (DMD), where each mirror represents a pixel, paragraph [0046].

Regarding claims 10, 11,

Igasaki et al describes the spatial light modulator including a liquid crystal layer, paragraph [0044], which does have ferroelectrics.

Regarding claims 13, 15, 17, 19,

Igasaki et al illustrates in figure 2 a laser system including a laser diode array (22), a spatial light modulator (38), a sensor (30) [applicant's detector], a controller (36) for controlling the modulation of the spatial light modulator, see paragraph [0045] and

Application/Control Number: 10/748,555

Art Unit: 2828

[0046]. Igasaki et al discloses the spatial light modulator as a digital micro-mirror device (DMD), where each mirror represents a pixel, paragraph [0046].

Regarding claim 16,

Paragraph [0046] discloses adjustment of irregularity of the minute mirrors, made of soft film, in each picture element, which implies the refractive index.

Regarding claim 18,

It is inherent for the controller (36) to compute a signal provided to the spatial light modulator for reducing the phase variation.

Claims 1, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Braiman et al (US 6,782,016).

Regarding claim 1,

Braiman et al illustrates in figure a laser system including an array of lasers (100), spatial light modulators (115) and (170) for phase modulation column 5 lines 19-20, a measurement and control unit (160) [applicant's detector and controller].

Regarding claims 5-7,

Column 5 lines 40-45, describes controlling the phase of the laser beams by interference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igasaki et al (2003/0010889) in view of Stappaerts et al (US 5,221,989).

Igasaki et al discloses the claimed invention except for the quantum well SLM.

However, it is well known in the art to use different designs of the spatial light modulators for phase modulation, as described by Stappaerts et al in column 1 lines 14-19, which includes the multiple quantum well structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR